;			
2	2.		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
10	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
13	Plaintiff,)	
14	V.) Civil No. 03:07-cv-4762-PJH	
15 16	CHARLES CATHCART, SCOTT CATHCART, YURIJ DEBEVC, a/k/a)) <u>FINAL JUDGMENT OF</u>	
17	YURI DEBEVC, ROBERT NAGY, DERIVIUM CAPITAL, LLC,) <u>PERMANENT INJUNCTION</u>) <u>AGAINST DERIVIUM CAPITAL LLC</u>	
18	DERIVIUM CAPITAL (USA), INC., and VERIDIA SOLUTIONS, LLC,))	
19	Defendants.))	
20	The United State 6.4		
21	matter against defendant D	d a complaint for permanent injunction in this	
22	7408 for engaging in conduct a Li	LC, seeking a permanent injunction under to IRC §	
23	the court appointed Chapter 7 Posters and	ty under IRC §§ 6700 and 6701. Kevin Campbell,	
24	to the entry without further positive of the re-	ustee for Derivium Capital, LLC, hereby consents	
25	to the entry, without further notice, of this Fin	al Judgment of Permanent Injunction.	
26	Derivium Capital, LLC also waives any right it may have to appeal from this Final		
27	Judgment of Permanent Injunction.		
28	Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction		
	Case No. 03:07-cv-4762-PJH		

1	Deriv	ium Capital, LLC, consents to entry of this Final Judgment of Permanent injunction
2	without admi	itting the factual allegation in the Complaint.
3	NOW	7. THEREFORE, it is accordingly ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:
4	1. Th	e Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to §§ 1340 and 1345 of Title 28 of
5	the United St	ates Code, and §§ 7402 and 7408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended
6	(26 U.S.C.) ("Code").	
7	2. De	rivium Capital, LLC, individually and doing business as any entity, and its agents, and
8	employees, a	re permanently enjoined from, directly or indirectly:
10	(a)	Organizing, promoting, marketing, or selling any plan or arrangement, including his 90% Loan scheme, that advises or assists taxpayers to attempt to violate the internal revenue laws or unlawfully evade the assessment or collection of their
11		federal tax liabilities;
12 13	(b)	Marketing the "90% Loan" scheme, that purports to enable customers to receive valuable consideration in exchange for stock or other securities that is pledged by those customers, purportedly as collateral, without the need to pay tax on the gain
14		because the transaction is characterized as a loan;
15 16	(c)	Advising customers that the 90% Loan scheme, which required participating customers to relinquish (1) legal title, (2) the right to receive dividends, and (3) any voting rights associated with the stock or securities in exchange for reasonable consideration constitutes a bona fide loan, rather than a sale, for
17		federal tax purposes (the "90% Loan" scheme);
18	(d)	Advising, assisting, or instructing customers that participating in the "90% Loan" scheme enables customers to defer, postpone, or avoid paying capital gains or other taxes associated with the sale of any stock or security transferred as part of
19		the scheme;
20	(e)	Making false statements about the allowability of any deduction or credit, the excludability of any income, or the securing of any tax benefit by the reason of
21		participating in any plan or arrangement, including the false statement that a associated with the 90% Loan scheme (described in paragraphs (a)-(d) supra) can
22	- cn	reduce or eliminate one's federal income tax liabilities;
23	(f)	Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under Code § 6700, i.e., by making or furnishing, in connection with the organization or sale of a plan or arrangement, a
2425		statement Derivium knows or has reason to know to be false or fraudulent as to any material matter under the federal tax laws; and
26	(g)	Engaging in any other conduct that interferes with the administration or enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
27	Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction	
28	Case No. 03:0	7-cv-4762-PJH -2-

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	3. The United States is permitted to engage in post-injunction discovery to ensure compliance with the permanent injunction. 4. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action for purposes of implementing and enforcing this Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction. Agreed and Submitted by: SThomas M. Newman THOMAS M. NEWMAN Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 7238 Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 616-9926 thomas m.newman@usdoj.gov attorney for the United States Kevin Campbell P.O. Box 684 Mount Pleasant, S.C. 29465 Telephone: (843) 888-6874 Chapter 7 Trustee for Derivium Capital, LLC
17	P.O./Bes 684 Mount Pleasant, S.O. 29465 Telephone: (843) 884-6874
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
27	
28	Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction
-	Case No. 03:07-cv-4762-PJH -3-